UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS'
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,405	02/05/2004	Gerwin Preisinger	024943-057 3822		
21839 BUCHANAN	7590 08/01/200 INGERSOLL & ROOT	EXAMINER			
POST OFFICE BOX 1404			THOMAS, LUCY M		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2836		
	•				
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)				
		10/771,405		PREISINGER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Lucy Thomas		2836				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, R - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later to earned patent term adjustment. See 3	ROM THE MAILING DA nder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 g date of this communication. e, the maximum statutory period wated period for reply will, by statute, han three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, h will apply and will exp cause the application	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be time bire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this o ) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status		•						
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to commu</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>3) Since this application is</li> </ul>	, —	action is non-		secution as to th	e merits is			
	vith the practice under E	•						
Disposition of Claims								
4)	(s) is/are withdrawallowed. jected. objected to.	wn from consid						
Application Papers								
	is/are: a) accest that any objection to the deet(s) including the correction	epted or b) \[ \begin{align*} drawing(s) be had in the content of the conte	eld in abeyance. See f the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-2)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent (Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	rawing Review (PTO-948)	<ul><li>4)</li><li>5)</li><li>6)</li></ul>	Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te				

Art Unit: 2836

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Susumu et al. (JP 10 014159) in view of Op Het Veld et al. (US 6,127,778). Regarding Claim 1, Susumu et al. discloses a device (see Abstract and drawings 1-5) for protecting 4 a bearing of an electrical machine against damaging passage of current, wherein the electrical machine comprises a stator 5 and a rotor 2 pivotally mounted relative to the stator by the bearing, the device comprising a compensation circuit (see 12, 10 in Figure 3) for eliminating or removing a parasitic current arising during operation of the electrical machine and passing through the bearing; and a coupling element (see 13 in Figure 3) for direct or indirect coupling (of the parasitic current to ground).

Susumu's device differs as the compensation circuit does not produce a compensation current having a corresponding magnitude as the parasitic current but opposite in phase to the parasitic current, and the coupling element is for coupling the parasitic current to ground not for coupling a compensation current into the bearing. Op Het Veld teaches a compensation circuit (compensation conductor) which produces a compensation current having corresponding magnitude as a parasitic current but

Art Unit: 2836

opposite in phase to the parasitic current and coupling circuit (capacitance between ground and the compensation conductor) for coupling the compensation current (see Column 1,lines 42-64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Susumu and to provide a compensation current and means to couple the compensation current into the bearing, because Op Het Veld teaches that parasitic current can be compensated by a compensation current of same magnitude and opposite phase.

Regarding Claim 2, Susumu et al. discloses the compensation circuit, which comprises a point at which phase voltages for operation of the electrical machine are found (see figure 3). The recitation of star point is to indicate a point at which the sum of phase currents is zero and sum of and phase voltages is zero, and the recitation of artificial is to indicate that the phase-to-neutral values are artificial, in a 3-wire wye configured system.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Susumu et al. (JP 10 014159) in view of Op Het Veld et al. (US 6,127,778) and Desai et al. (US 6, 449, 567). Regarding Claim 3, Susumu does not disclose three identical impedances, which forms the artificial star point. Desai et al. discloses three impedances Za, Zb, and Zc, which form the artificial star point 51 (Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the identical impedances, which necessarily is part of generating an artificial star point in three phase systems, as shown in the drawings to simplify and facilitate

Art Unit: 2836

connection of a conventional three phase protection circuit to the device taught by Susumu.

3. Claims 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Susumu et al. (JP 10 014159) in view of Op Het Veld et al. (US 6,127,778), Desai et al. (US 6, 449, 567) and Baumgartl et al. (US 5,859,529). Regarding Claim 4, Susumu, Op Het Veld, or Desai disclose the device, wherein the compensation circuit also comprises a polarity reversal transformer having a primary side to which the star point voltage is supplied at least in part and a secondary side which produces a voltage opposite in phase to the star point voltage. Baumgartl discloses a voltage transformer T1, having a primary side to which star point voltage is supplied at least in part and a secondary side which produces a voltage opposite in phase to the star point voltage (Figure, Column 1, lines 50-65, Column 2, lines 18-32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Susumu, Op Het Veld, and Desai and to provide a transformer to obtain a voltage of opposite phase as taught by Baumgartl, because voltage transformers are particularly useful in cases where inductive voltages cannot be used because of the transformers' size and price.

Regarding Claim 5, Baumgartl discloses an amplitude matching stage connected between the artificial star point and the polarity reversal transformer T1, the amplitude matching stage applying adjustable fraction of the star point voltage to the polarity reversal transformer (Figure, Column 1, lines 50-65, Column 2, lines 18-32). Claim 6 only recites conventional functional feature of a transformer, having several winding taps to provide various levels of output voltages on the secondary stage.

Art Unit: 2836

Regarding Claim 7, Susumu discloses a frequency response matching stage (see 14 of 12a in Drawing 4 and [0017]). In the above-mentioned combination, the polarity reversal transformer would be connected on the secondary side to an input of the frequency matching stage, which equalizes a frequency response of the compensation current to the parasitic current.

Regarding Claim 8, Susumu discloses a device, wherein an output of the frequency matching stage is connected to the coupling element 13. Regarding Claims 9-10, Op Het Veld discloses the coupling element which is a capacitor, and the coupling element would necessarily be configured to be arranged in the device of Susumu, such that the coupling compensation current takes place into the rotor shaft of the rotor by which the rotor in the bearing is pivotally mounted.

4. Claims 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Susumu et al. (JP 10 014159) in view of Op Het Veld et al. (US 6,127,778) and Baumgartl et al. (US 5,859,529). Claims 11-17 recite the elements of Claims 4-10, except for dependence on Claim 2. Regarding Claim 11, Susumu does not disclose the device, wherein the compensation circuit also comprises a polarity reversal transformer having a primary side to which the star point voltage is supplied at least in part and a secondary side which produces a voltage opposite in phase to the star point voltage. Baumgartl discloses a voltage transformer T1, having a primary side to which star point voltage is supplied at least in part and a secondary side which produces a voltage opposite in phase to the star point voltage opposite in phase to the star point voltage in phase to the star point voltage (Figure, Column 1, lines 50-65, Column 2, lines 18-32). It would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2836

invention was made to modify the combination of Susumu and Op Het Veld, and to provide a transformer to obtain a voltage of opposite phase as taught by Baumgartl, because voltage transformers are particularly useful in cases where inductive voltages cannot be used because of the transformers' size and price.

Regarding Claim 12, Baumgartl discloses an amplitude matching stage connected between the artificial star point and the polarity reversal transformer T1, the amplitude matching stage applying adjustable fraction of the star point voltage to the polarity reversal transformer (Figure, Column 1, lines 50-65, Column 2, lines 18-32). Claim 13 only recites conventional functional feature of a transformer, having several winding taps to provide various levels of output voltages on the secondary stage.

Regarding Claim 14, Susumu discloses a frequency response matching stage (see 14 of 12a in Drawing 4 and [0017]). In the above-mentioned combination, the polarity reversal transformer would be on the secondary side to an input of the frequency matching stage, which equalizes a frequency response of the compensation current to the parasitic current. Regarding Claim 15, Susumu discloses a device, wherein an output of the frequency matching stage is connected to the coupling element 13. Regarding Claims 16-17, Op Het Veld discloses the coupling element which is a capacitor, and the coupling element would necessarily be configured to be arranged in the device of Susumu, such that the coupling compensation current takes place into the rotor shaft of the rotor by which the rotor in the bearing is pivotally mounted.

Claims 18-22 basically recites the elements of Claims 1-2 and 4-5, except the recitation of compensation circuit (Claim 1) versus means for producing a compensation

Art Unit: 2836

current (Claim 18), and recitation of means for producing compensating current of opposite phase (Claim 18).

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 4/25/2007 have been fully considered but are most in view of new grounds of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lucy Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-6002. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 571-272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LT July 23, 2007

> MICHAEL SHERRY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**

Page 8